


JC20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 03 APR 2002

FORM PTO-1390 (REV. 12-2001)		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER <b>310048-488</b>
TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US) CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371			U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If known, see 37 CFR 1.5) <b>10/089807</b>
INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. <b>PCT/US00/29854</b>	INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE <b>30.October.2000 (30.10.00)</b>	PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED <b>29.October.1999 (29.10.99)</b>	
TITLE OF INVENTION <b>AN APPARATUS FOR HIGH-THROUGHPUT PRODUCTION OF COAT MATERIAL ARRAYS, AND ANALYTICAL METHODS USING SUCH ARRAYS</b>			
APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US <b>LICON, Mark; AKHAVE, Jay, R.; and SAUNDERS, Dennis, L.</b>			
Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This is a <b>FIRST</b> submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.</li> <li>2. <input type="checkbox"/> This is a <b>SECOND</b> or <b>SUBSEQUENT</b> submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.</li> <li>3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This is an express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)). The submission must include items (5), (6), (9) and (21) indicated below.</li> <li>4. <input type="checkbox"/> The US has been elected by the expiration of 19 months from the priority date (Article 31).</li> <li>5. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)) <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is attached hereto (required only if not communicated by the International Bureau).</li> <li>b. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> has been communicated by the International Bureau.</li> <li>c. <input type="checkbox"/> is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US).</li> </ol> </li> <li>6. <input type="checkbox"/> An English language translation of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)). <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <input type="checkbox"/> is attached hereto.</li> <li>b. <input type="checkbox"/> has been previously submitted under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4).</li> </ol> </li> <li>7. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)) <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <input type="checkbox"/> are attached hereto (required only if not communicated by the International Bureau).</li> <li>b. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> have been communicated by the International Bureau.</li> <li>c. <input type="checkbox"/> have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired.</li> <li>d. <input type="checkbox"/> have not been made and will not be made.</li> </ol> </li> <li>8. <input type="checkbox"/> An English language translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371 (c)(3)).</li> <li>9. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)).</li> <li>10. <input type="checkbox"/> An English language translation of the annexes of the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)).</li> </ol>			
Items 11 to 20 below concern document(s) or information included:			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>11. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.</li> <li>12. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included.</li> <li>13. <input type="checkbox"/> A FIRST preliminary amendment.</li> <li>14. <input type="checkbox"/> A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT preliminary amendment.</li> <li>15. <input type="checkbox"/> A substitute specification.</li> <li>16. <input type="checkbox"/> A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.</li> <li>17. <input type="checkbox"/> A computer-readable form of the sequence listing in accordance with PCT Rule 13ter.2 and 35 U.S.C. 1.821 - 1.825.</li> <li>18. <input type="checkbox"/> A second copy of the published international application under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4).</li> <li>19. <input type="checkbox"/> A second copy of the English language translation of the international application under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4).</li> <li>20. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other items or information: Copy International Application with Annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report Incorporated; Extra set of Drawings; Copy of Demand; Copy of Written Opinion; Copy Reply to Written Opinion; Copy of PCT IB306; Copy of IPER</li> </ol>			

U.S. APPLICATION NO (if known, see 37 CFR 1.5) <b>10/0899807</b>		INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO <b>PCT/US00/29854</b>		ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER <b>310048-488</b>	
21. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The following fees are submitted: <b>BASIC NATIONAL FEE (37 CFR 1.492 (a) (1) - (5)):</b> Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) nor international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO and International Search Report not prepared by the EPO or JPO. .... <b>\$1040.00</b>  International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but International Search Report prepared by the EPO or JPO ..... <b>\$890.00</b>  International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO ..... <b>\$740.00</b>  International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) paid to USPTO but all claims did not satisfy provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4) ..... <b>\$710.00</b>  International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) paid to USPTO and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4) ..... <b>\$100.00</b> <b>ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =</b>				<b>CALCULATIONS PTO USE ONLY</b>	
Surcharge of <b>\$130.00</b> for furnishing the oath or declaration later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(e)).				\$	
CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE	\$	
Total claims	18 - 20 =	0	x <b>\$18.00</b>	\$	
Independent claims	6 - 3 =	3	x <b>\$84.00</b>	\$	252.00
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM(S) (if applicable)			+ <b>\$280.00</b>	\$	
<b>TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =</b>				\$	352.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. The fees indicated above are reduced by 1/2.				\$	
<b>SUBTOTAL =</b>				\$	352.00
Processing fee of <b>\$130.00</b> for furnishing the English translation later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)).				\$	
<b>TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =</b>				\$	352.00
Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). <b>\$40.00</b> per property +				\$	40.00
<b>TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =</b>				\$	392.00
				Amount to be refunded:	\$
				charged:	\$
a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A check in the amount of \$ <u>352.00 + 40.00</u> to cover the above fees is enclosed.  b. <input type="checkbox"/> Please charge my Deposit Account No. <u>16-2230</u> in the amount of \$ _____ to cover the above fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.  c. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. <u>16-2230</u> . A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.  d. <input type="checkbox"/> Fees are to be charged to a credit card. <b>WARNING:</b> Information on this form may become public. <b>Credit card                  information should not be included on this form.</b> Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.					
<b>NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR                  1.137 (a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.</b>					
SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:  ROSE, Alan, C. OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY LLP 2029 Century Park East, Suite 3800 Los Angeles, California 90067-3024 United States of America			<div style="text-align: center;">                   SIGNATURE                  Alan C. Rose, Esq.  <hr/>                 NAME                  17,047  <hr/>                 REGISTRATION NUMBER                  Date: 03.April.2002             </div>		





FIG. 1


2/7

FIG. 2



3/7

FIG. 3



4 / 7




FIG. 5





FIG. 6






FIG. 9





FIG. 8





7/7




FIG. 10

FIG. 11





FIG. 12

**An Apparatus for High-Throughput Production of Coat Material Arrays, and Analytical  
Methods Using such Arrays**

**Related Patent Application**

This application claims priority from prior U. S. Provisional Patent Application Serial  
5 Number 60/162,349 filed October 29, 1999, the disclosure of which is hereby incorporated by  
reference.

**Background:**

1. Field of the invention:

10 The invention relates generally to methods and apparatus for identification and  
optimization of coating materials and properties for desired applications. More specifically, the  
invention relates to an improved process of creating coatings, involving identifying candidate  
materials and screening and optimizing formulations and coating parameters for desired  
applications.

15 2. Description of related art

Development of coating materials, for example adhesive coatings, release coats,  
protective coatings, and the like as well as films and laminate constructions of layered materials,  
has conventionally been a time consuming and labor intensive process. Candidate materials are  
20 identified primarily based on knowledge and experience with what compositions have worked  
before in related applications and investigating like materials and combinations of materials.  
This usually involves preparing a coating formulation, preparing a test coating for evaluation  
(often involving several tries to attain the desired parameters such as coat weight, cure, etc. for  
evaluation), drying the coating, then evaluating the coating by testing the property of interest,  
25 such as permeability, tack, shear and bending strength, surface roughness, etc., and entering the  
results in a database for comparison with further coatings to be developed and tested. Problems  
of cross-contamination and holdover further limit the number of formulations that can be  
screened in a given time period. This is a time-consuming process and as a result one skilled in  
the art, even with support staff to assist and carry on tasks in parallel, has conventionally been  
30 able to screen at most a few coatings per day, most often only one or two.

Because of the lengthy time required to screen and then investigate candidate materials  
and associated coating application parameter values to select and optimize coatings, those skilled  
in the art generally must focus on families of materials known to possess properties likely to  
prove successful in the intended use. Investigation of unconventional or simply previously

untried materials is usually limited. Moreover, development of coating materials for a particular application is also a time-consuming process, and development of new coatings, while potentially beneficial, sometimes cannot be pursued due to economic considerations arising out of the time and effort involved.

5           Requisite in the development of new coating materials is the use of a particular coating method as well as consideration of holdover or carryover effects. Holdover effects result in the contamination of one candidate coating material due to residual coating material remaining in the coat dispensing apparatus and/or coat-receiving substrate from a prior test coating material. Contamination as a result of holdover effects are generally additive and provide a level of error  
10 in coat formulation that is difficult to control. It is therefore preferable, especially when the volume of coating material to be tested is small, to use a coating method that either eliminates or significantly reduces holdover effects. Use of a disposable method for dispensing as well as receiving the test coat material would eliminate problems associated with holdover effects.

          A variety of methods for coating desired substrates or materials are available and include  
15 spin coating, die coating and non-contact jet coating methods. Spin coating is a technique commonly used in the field of electronics where the coat material is dispensed onto a desired surface by centrifugal force (spinning). The coatweights resulting from this method are limited to very thin coatings and there is a significant loss of material during the coating process. In both the die coating and non-contact jet coating methods, die and jetting nozzle costs prohibit  
20 their modification to disposable units. Prior to the instant application, an inexpensive, efficient and disposable method for testing a large number of coating materials has not been known. While many significant advances in coating technology have been made in recent years, acceleration of the rate at which coating materials can be identified, screened, investigated and optimized will be recognized as a desirable goal by those skilled in the art.

25

### **Summary of the Invention**

          An object of the invention is to provide a multi-well apparatus for making arrays of coating materials. Such arrays are suitable for analysis and may comprise a disposable two-layer assembly where the first layer contains a plurality of wells and the second layer is a substrate  
30 layer. Both layers can be flexible, with the second or bottom layer being detachable from the overlying first layer. Such an apparatus can be made of disposable material, thus providing a cost-effective, efficient and reliable means of making and testing numerous formulations of coating material.

The invention also provides a method of developing a new coating having a desired performance characteristic with regard to a property of a coating, comprising: a) providing an array of coating wells, b) placing a coating material having the known parameter in each coating well, varying the parameter so as to provide a plurality of coatings having different parameter values in a plurality of coating wells; c) correlating the value of the parameter for the coatings deposited in each of the plurality of coating wells with the position of the coating well in the array, whereby a parameter value is associated with each coating well position in the array; d) applying a leveling force to the array of wells to level the coating material in the coating wells; and e) testing the coatings in the array to analyze the relationship between the position in the array and performance with regard to the property of the coating material, whereby the value of the parameter can be correlated to the performance of the coating with regard to the property of the coating. Optionally, the coatings in the array can be dried while the leveling force is applied. The above combinatorial, high-throughput method of screening candidate coat materials results in a significant increase in the discovery rate of new coating materials. In a preferred embodiment the leveling force may be provided by a centrifuge.

Further features, details, and advantages of the invention will be more apparent with reference to the following detailed description, taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, which illustrate, by way of example, principles of the invention.

## Brief Description of the Drawings

FIG. 1 is a generic schematic of the combinatorial discovery process;

FIG. 2 is a perspective view of an example of a robotic dispenser usable in one embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 3 is a top view of an example of a well plate usable in one embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 4 is a perspective view of an example of a well plate having a removable well bottom, comprising a substrate to which sample coatings are applied, usable in one embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 5 is a perspective view of another example of a well plate having a removable well bottom comprising a substrate to which sample coatings are applied, usable in one embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 6 is a side view of a well plate having a curved bottom usable in one embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 7 is a side view of a flexible well plate having a removable top portion usable in one embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 8 is a side view of a well plate having a laminate construction usable in one embodiment of the invention;

5 FIG. 9 is a schematic diagram showing leveling of coating array materials by application of a leveling force and curing by hot air;

FIG. 10 is a perspective view of an example of a centrifuge usable in an embodiment of the invention;

10 FIG. 11 is a perspective view of an example of a swing arm centrifuge rotor assembly usable in one embodiment of the invention, showing the assembly loaded with well plates; and

FIG. 12 is a perspective view of an example of a 96-well plate usable in one embodiment of the invention.

### Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiments

15 In accordance with one aspect of the invention, it has been recognized that by using automation of certain development processes, miniaturization of samples to be tested, database development and manipulation, and using algorithms to identify candidate materials from information contained in databases, one can increase the number of coating materials that can be developed to meet identified needs. As used herein, the term "combinatorial" refers to the  
20 combined approach of high-throughput analysis of libraries consisting of arrays of coat material formulations. Included in the high-throughput analysis are automated or robotic processing of the sample arrays.

Combinatorial methods have been used in the medical, pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries to develop chemical compositions, particularly pharmaceuticals and  
25 medicaments, for a number of years. However, these prior combinatorial methods have not been well suited to development of new coatings. Applicants herein provide techniques for generating arrays of coating formulations, well suited to the application of combinatorial chemistry methods. These techniques allow new coatings to be screened and evaluated on a high throughput basis, in order to produce new coatings economically.

30

### *Combinatorial Approach*

With reference to FIG. 1 of the drawings, which are given by way of example, and not by way of limitation, a system 10 in accordance with principles of the invention comprises a method of developing new coatings by means of a combinatorial approach. A first step 12 is to define

what end result coating is desired, and what characteristics and qualities such a coating will have. To achieve the desired result a new material, or a new construction of several materials, such as a laminate for example, comprising new and/or conventional materials combined in a novel way may be required.

5           At the outset it should be understood that combinatorial methods can be applied to both the process of creating coating materials by formulation or synthesis, and to creating coating parameters or desired characteristics.

          Returning to consideration of one example of a combinatorial approach to coating development, the next step 13 is to select likely candidate materials. These can comprise  
10   formulations of generally dilute solutions of raw material ingredients 14 that are contemplated as likely elements or components that may provide a coating material with desired characteristics. In the next step 16 a material library of a few to a few hundred thousand, or more, chemical combinations are formed and dispensed into an array of coating wells 18 using a robot or other automated device 17 to make a library or array of coating materials. Incidentally, the "libraries"  
15   may include the samples in a single array, or the samples may form a plurality of arrays, processed either concurrently or successively. The chemical combinations forming at least part of the library are then processed in parallel as indicated at reference numeral 19. Processing can include exposing the coating array to a variety of processing variables such as heat, and time as well as applied leveling forces to shape the resultant library or array of coat samples, as can be  
20   accomplished, for example, by a centrifuge 20. In the next step 21 high throughput analysis is performed whereby the library is screened by detectors that quickly scan various properties of the coating materials. After the high throughput analysis, materials with the desired properties are identified 22 with the results entered into a large database 23, allowing up to 25,000 variations of materials to be tested at one time. Each library is comprised of one or more arrays  
25   of variations of materials to be tested. Each individual site in an array will correspond to a specific formulation of a coat material, wherein the parameter or coat descriptor(s) of the material located at that site is known. Miniaturization of the sample size facilitates processing and greatly saves cost and time thereby increasing efficiency and the rate of discovery. The end result is discovery and determination of the most successful new material(s) and the process or  
30   parameters used to produce the new materials. These materials are then selected for large scale production and commercialization 24.

          The combinatorial approach to development and testing of novel coat materials greatly benefits from use of devices and apparatus that allow flat coating samples in the arrays or within

wells in the arrays. Additional embodiments encompassing such devices and apparatus are included in the present invention and further described below.

When trying to coat one formulation after another in a rapid fashion, "holdover" considerations are important. As used herein, the term "holdover" is defined as the volume of material that is residual in a cavity after it is emptied and could contaminate the next batch of material deposited into the cavity. As volumes of the cavity get smaller, the potential for holdover increases. For example, tubes, pipette tips, material dispensers and such all have potential holdover volumes. The contamination is also a function of the rheological nature or viscosity of the material that is deposited into the cavity. Holdover effects in traditional methods of developing coating materials greatly increases the level of error, compromising the identification of correct parameters of a new coat material. In the present invention, holdover and its contaminating effects are eliminated by use of a disposable dispensing device 25 (FIG. 2) and a disposable substrate assembly (formatted as a multi-well apparatus) 26, both of which are further described below. As used herein, the term "substrate" is defined as any coat-receiving surface or material, or a substance upon which a sample coat material resides which allows the testing of that sample. A "substrate assembly" is a composite of materials formed into a unit or apparatus for holding a large number of different coating samples in an array format (FIG. 3). An "array format" as used herein, is a matrix format where the samples of coating material are arranged as discrete coated areas 31 on a surface, such as a planar surface. For example, a 48-well coating array (FIG. 3) would have 48 discrete coated areas arranged as 6 rows 27 and 8 columns 28.

#### *Multi-well Apparatus for Parallel Processing of a Material Library*

An initial step in the development of a coating is to create the various mixed formulations to be placed in the wells in the array. In one embodiment of the present invention, such sample formulations can be mixed or prepared in a multi-well plate format (FIG. 3) with each individual well containing a unique, pre-defined formulation to be tested. A variety of types of commercially available multi-well plates suitable for use in the present invention can be used (Millipore Corp., Polyfiltronics, VWR Scientific). Such multi-well plates can vary in size of plate dimension, size of well (outer circumference as well as well-depth), type of material used to construct the multi-well plate (for example, polystyrene or polypropylene, rigid plastic or flexible plastic). The biotechnology and pharmaceutical industry utilizes multi-well plates (generally 48-, 96- or 256-well plates) whose outer dimensions are standardized for use with robotic dispensers. Generally, standardized multi-well plates are rectangular, rigid, stackable

plates with right edges of the top or lid portion being curved 29. The outside dimensions of a complete multi-well unit are approximately 5 x 3.25 inches. Such multi-well plates are suitable for use in the present invention. In general, the well size used should be of substantial volume so as to allow adequate robotic mixing of the required or needed amount of each formulation without drying up of the solutions contained in the wells. Preferably a well volume of .5 to 3 cubic centimeters in volume is contemplated for use in the present invention. The minimum quantity or volume of sample to be mixed in a "mother" wellplate will vary depending upon the desired coating thickness, domain size and formulation of the coating solution.

As used herein, a "mother" well plate is defined as a source well plate. For example, a 25 micron thick coating that is 1 cm<sup>2</sup> in domain size with a coating solution that is 50% solids, will require (1 cm<sup>2</sup> x 25 microns / 0.5) volume units or 0.0050 cc of solution. "Domain size" as used herein, refers to the minimum area required for the coated sample as determined by downstream testing. The appropriate volume of individual formulations from this mother well plate can then be dispensed to a sample or "daughter" well plate to make a coating with the desired domain size for subsequent analysis and data collection. It should be understood, that alternative embodiments include use of a single well plate as both the mother and daughter well plate. In such a case, the well plate into which the sample formulations are mixed will also serve as the well plate from which the coating materials will be tested. Again, considerations of desired coating thickness, domain size and formulation of coating solutions will be included in determination of minimum volume of well size required. Additional embodiments of well plate apparatus design will be discussed further below.

#### *Automated Dispensing of Candidate Coat Materials for Testing*

A disposable metering device can be used to dispense the formulations from a mother well plate to a daughter well plate. A robotic dispenser (available commercially for example, from Hamilton Zinser Packard) ( FIG. 2) is one such device. Robotic dispensers allow for rapid and automated dispensing of a specified quantity of a large number of samples. The well plate format to be used for the daughter well plate will also depend on the domain size requirement of the coating. For example, a 6-, 12-, 24-, 48-, 96-, or 384- well plate format are commercially available formats which can be used in the present invention with the commercially available robotic dispensers. The robotic dispenser will have a platform area upon which the substrate well plates reside (FIG. 2; "A").

Alternatively, in the case where a single well plate is used as both the mother and daughter wellplate, a robotic device can also be used for mixing as well as dispensing component



materials for the sample coating formulation to be tested. Such a device could have multiple dispensing units 30 from which specific and precise amount of an individual component is dispensed into a single well. The sample solution can be dispensed using disposable pipette tips 30b attached to the pipettors 30c. For example, a separate dispensing unit for each component can be used to dispense the appropriate amount of a respective component into a single sample well. Such a dispensing unit can be disposable which will allow rapid and accurate automation of the combinatorial method for formulating or synthesizing a new coating with elimination of holdup or contamination problems. Examples of disposable dispensing units include, polyethylene or other type of tubing and disposable pipette tips.

#### *Alternative Designs of Multi-well Apparatus for Parallel Processing*

Alternative embodiments of well plate design include providing a two-piece coating well apparatus having at least a substrate portion 32 and a multi-well or sample-containing template 34 which can be separated from one another (FIG. 4). Once leveled and dried, the coating material 36 is held by the substrate portion 32 of the assembly. This type of well plate assembly is designed such that the base substrate-portion (or bottom half of the assembly) 32 can be removed from the multi-well template portion 34 of the well plate assembly. Various embodiments of a well plate design having a removable bottom are contemplated and further described below. FIG. 5 shows an example of a multi-well plate depicting the array format useful in the invention. Coating material samples are placed within the apertured, multi-well template top 47. Such multi-well plates will form an array 41 or library format of the different formulations as discrete coated areas 40 on a planar substrate sheet 42. A multi-well plate with a removable top or cover can also be used as a well plate assembly. An example of such a multi-well plate design is shown in FIG. 7. The well plate design can also include modifications to the well plate to prevent distribution of coating material onto the inner walls of the wells. For example, a release coating can be applied to the inner walls 43 of the wells to prevent any sample material from moving up and onto the well walls during application of a leveling force.

An additional embodiment of the present invention includes multi-well plates designed to obtain flat coatings in all of the wells of assembly. Current commercially available multi-well plates have a flat-bottom surface for the entire plate. This results in an uneven distribution of sample material in the wells located along the perimeter of the multi-well plate 68 when current swing arm type of centrifuge rotors 70 are used to apply a leveling force. FIG. 6 shows an example of a modified multi-well plate designed to obtain flat coatings in all of the wells. Such a well plate will have a curved base plate 44 where the curvature of this base is parallel to the

circumference of the centrifuge rotor, or is curved so as to substantially match the curvature of the curvilinear path of the well plate during centrifugation. With a curved-bottom well plate 44, sample material or coating solutions in all of the wells, including perimeter wells 45, will be at the same distance from the spin axis of the centrifuge. Thus, coating material in all of the wells will have a flat distribution following centrifugation. The top view of such a multi-well plate can be as depicted in FIG. 5. A flexible substrate and apertured well plate may be employed to provide a curved configuration when mounted in a centrifuge.

A specialized laminate well plate construction is also envisioned as an alternative embodiments of the present invention. FIG. 8 shows a cross sectional view of a representative laminate multi-well plate assembly. In one case, the assembly is made up of at least 4 layers and is shown in FIG.8. The top or first layer 46 corresponds to the multi-well or sample holding portion of the assembly. This layer need only be thick enough to provide a sufficient barrier between adjacent wells so that the dispensed coating material 50 does not cross contaminate adjacent samples. Where a very small amount of coating material 50 is to be tested, this layer need not be very thick and could be made of, for example, thin plastic, foam or paper with each well formed of holes placed in linear, multiple rows to form an array pattern. Preferably, the top layer will be about .01 to about 1 mm, or about 1 to about 10 mm, or about 1 to about 5 cm in height. This top layer 46 can be coated with a Pressure Sensitive Adhesive (PSA) (not shown) to attach it to the substrate layer 48. This will also help to seal the wells so that cross-contamination of sample coating material from one well does not mix with its neighbors. The second layer is the substrate layer 48 and can be formed of a variety of materials, such as plastic, polymeric resin or paper, so long as it will hold the sample coating material 50 in a flattened manner. The second layer will preferably be about 1 to about 100 microns, or about 1 to about 10 mm, or about 1 to about 5 cm in thickness. The third layer is a Pressure Sensitive Adhesive layer (PSA) 52. The PSA layer 52 can be about 5 to about 30  $\mu\text{m}$ , or about .005 to about .03 mm, or about .0005 to about .003 cm in thickness depending upon the type of adhesive and degree of adhesion desired. The fourth layer is a liner 54 coated with a release layer such as silicone, which can be removed or peeled away from the PSA layer 52 leaving the adhesive on the bottom of the substrate layer as the new bottom layer. This type of multi-well plate design is suitable for example, where the stickiness or tackiness of a coating material is to be tested. In such a case, it is desirable to have an array library which will remain stationary or adhere to a support surface by the PSA layer 52 while each individual coating sample is tested. Use of the PSA 52 on the layer 48 will allow the array library to remain stationary and not lift up during testing.

*Leveling Force*

Once the different formulations are dispensed into a multi-well plate assembly 63, the coat formulations are made into flat coatings 64 within the wells by use of a leveling force. A "leveling force" as used herein, is defined as any force sufficient to cause a sample or coat material to distribute evenly and flatly onto a substrate. A leveling force will also remove any residual air bubbles present within the sample coat formulation. A variety of leveling forces are contemplated for use in the present invention including, for example, use of centrifugal force, use of a vacuum or negative pressure force, use of an electrostatic force, or use of a magnetic force. In the case where magnetic leveling force is used, the test coat formulation will contain magnetic particles, powder, or a compound such as ferrite, that is responsive to a magnetic force. Use of a leveling force need not be limited to single-coat assessments. Where the processing of a multi-layer construction of coat material is desired, a leveling force can be repeatedly applied following dispensing of individual layers of a coat to be tested. The final array obtained will be a planar sheet containing discrete areas in a grid format of multi-layer coat formulations.

FIG. 11 shows an example of a centrifuge that can be used for applying a leveling force to a multi-well plate. Such swing arm-type centrifuges with multi-well plate holders (FIG. 12) are available commercially (for example, VWR Scientific, "MicroPlus GH 3.8 rotor centrifuge). The rotor for use in such a centrifuge is designed so as to hold an even number of multi-well plate assemblies. The multi-well plate assemblies 68 are loaded into the rotor 70 in an upright or horizontal position. During centrifugation, the plates are directed into a vertical position which then levels or flattens the sample formulations onto the substrate layer. After the formulations are dispensed in a multi-well plate assembly, the assembly is placed in a swing-arm centrifuge and the coatings are spun at controlled speeds so as to form a flat coating within each well 64. For example, with a standard centrifuge, a 10-min. spin at 2000 rpm will be sufficient to evenly distribute the coat materials within each well. There is no loss of sample material with use of a swing-arm centrifuge.

Additional methods of casting sample coat formulations include those which can also simultaneously dry the coating material during casting. For example, a centrifuge which has been modified to hold circulating hot air or other gas which will aid in the evaporation of carrier solvents in the coating formulations is also contemplated for use in the present invention and is diagrammed schematically in FIG. 9. The hot air 66 circulating over the formulations during centrifugation aids in the drying of the coating by evaporation of volatiles or solvents. As with a centrifuge, devices used to provide alternative methods of applying a leveling force can also be modified so as to simultaneously dry the coat formulations. For example, an apparatus utilizing

a vacuum or electrostatic force as the leveling force can be modified to circulate hot air and include alternate arrangements for drying.

*High Throughput Analysis, Data Storage, Data Modeling and New Materials Discovery*

5        The above methods provide an array 40 of coating materials with each site in the grid array containing a coat material having a known parameter which differs from parameter values of the materials contained on the other sites (FIG 1; step 16). With this array, the plurality of coating materials can each be tested for performance of each coating. Because the parameter value of the coating contained at each site is known, the value of a parameter associated with a  
10        desired performance of a coating can be determined. All information obtained by this high throughput analysis screening a coat material library are then entered into a database. From this database identification of the most successful new coat materials and the parameters and descriptors used to produce them is achieved (FIG. 1, step 23). Such a database will also serve  
15        as a storage library to aid in the formulation of future parameters to characterize the coatings.

**Example I**

20        This example demonstrates the use of a multi-well plate combined with a centrifugal leveling force for estimation of coat weight of a sample coat material formulation. This example is intended to be representative of one embodiment of the invention, and not intended as limiting the scope of the invention.

25        The emulsion polymer formulation used was S-2000. S-2000 is a nondispersable emulsion acrylic polymer manufactured by Avery Dennison Corporation, Pasadena CA in accordance with U.S. Patent No. 5,221,706. A 96-well plate obtained from Polytronics was used as a daughter well plate. The well plate remained flat during centrifugation. Each well contained an equivalent sample material formulation for determination of coat weight.

Diameter of each well = 0.6 cm

Cross-section of each well =  $3.14 \times 0.6 \text{ cm}^2 = 1.884 \text{ cm}^2$

30        Weight of coat material in E7 position of array = 0.0153 gm

Wet coat weight in E7 =  $0.0153 / 0.0001884 = 81.21 \text{ gsm}$

% solids in wet solution = 52.1%

Dry coat weight in E7 = 42.3 gsm

**Results:**

The emulsion did not dry fast and remained opaque. Hence the need for higher temperature drying. Material in wells located on the perimeter wells did not level evenly. Coat  
5 material dispensed into the center wells were centered and evenly flattened in the horizontal direction. The uneven leveling observed in the perimeter wells is believed to be a result of the centrifugal force acting at an angle to the bottom of the well, unlike the preferred flexible configuration of Fig. 6.

This example demonstrates the utility of using a multi-well plate combined with a  
10 leveling force for high-throughput analysis of specific parameters or characteristics of coat material formulations in an individualized manner.

The invention has been described with reference to various specific and preferred  
embodiments and techniques. However, it should be understood that many variations and  
15 modifications may be made while remaining within the spirit and scope of the invention

CLAIMS

1. A method for efficiently preparing a large number of sample coatings comprising the steps of:

- 5 (a) forming a series of sample receptacles or wells by providing a flexible substrate and an overlying apertured sheet with the apertured sheet in tight sealing engagement with the substrate;
- (b) applying different samples of material in liquid form into said receptacles;
- (c) placing said flexible substrates with said sample receptacles thereon in a centrifuge;
- 10 (d) activating said centrifuge with said receptacle mounted therein to flatten out the sample material in said receptacles, with the centrifugal force acting perpendicular to the bottom of the receptacles;
- (e) drying said samples while they are within the centrifuge; and
- (f) removing the apertured plate to leave the samples exposed on said
- 15 substrate.

2. A method as defined in claim 1 wherein said applying step involves the application of various adhesive compositions into said receptacles or wells.

20 3. A method as defined in claim 1 wherein multilayer samples are formed by repeating steps (b) through (e) prior to step (f).

4. A method as defined in claim 1 wherein an array of at least four wells are formed.

5. A method as defined in claim 1 wherein hot air is applied to the samples during

25 centrifugation.

6. A method as defined in claim 1 wherein said substrate is formed of paper.

7. A method of forming a test coating comprising the steps of:

30 forming a receptacle for receiving a material sample, said receptacle having a flat bottom and enclosing sides:

depositing a fluid material sample in said receptacle;

mounting said receptacle in a centrifuge with the outward centrifugal force being perpendicular to the bottom of said receptacle;

activating said centrifuge to flatten the material in the receptacle; and

drying said material while the sample is being rotated and flattened by the

5 centrifugal action.

8. A method for efficiently preparing a large number of sample castings comprising the steps of:

forming a series of sample receptacles by providing a substrate and an overlying

10 apertured sheet with the apertured sheet in tight sealing engagement with the substrate;

applying different samples of material in liquid form into said receptacles;

drying said samples; and

removing said apertured sheet to leave said material samples on said substrate.

15 9. A method as defined in claim 8 including the step of applying force to said samples perpendicular to the bottom of said receptacles to flatten out said samples

10. A multi-well apparatus for providing an array of coating material suitable for analysis of performance characteristics comprising:

20 an at least 2-layer assembly comprised of a top and a bottom layer, wherein the top layer comprises an apertured sheet;

the bottom layer comprises a substrate layer for receiving the coating material;

and

the bottom layer is detachable.

25

11. A multi-well apparatus as defined in claim 10 wherein the multi-well apparatus is flexible.

12. A method of testing coating materials, comprising the steps of:

30 providing an array of coating wells, each well being configured for receiving a coating material having a known composition;

placing a coating material having a known composition in each coating well,

varying the composition so as to provide a plurality of coating materials having different compositions in a plurality of coating wells;

correlating the composition of the coatings deposited in each of the plurality of coating wells with the position of the coating well in the array, whereby a specific composition is associated with each coating well position in the array;

5 placing said coating wells with said compositions into a centrifuge, and activating said centrifuge;  
drying said coating materials; and  
testing the resultant coatings.

13. The method of claim 12 including providing wells in the form of a flexible  
10 substitute and a flexible overlying apertured sheet.

14. The method of claim 12 including the step of heating said coating materials while said centrifuge is activated.

15. A method of analyzing coating materials for performance of the coating with regard to a property of a coating, comprising:

providing an array of coating wells, each well being configured for receiving a coating material having a known parameter; said array of coating wells comprising a substrate and an overlying apertured sheet;

20 placing a coating material having the known parameter in each coating well.  
varying the parameter so as to provide a plurality of coating materials having different parameter values in a plurality of coating wells;

correlating the value of the parameter for the coatings deposited in each of the plurality of coating wells with the position of the coating well in the array, whereby a parameter  
25 value is associated with each coating well position in the array;

drying said coating samples; and

testing the coatings in the array to analyze the relationship between the position in the array and performance with regard to the property of the coating material;

30 whereby the value of the parameter can be correlated to the performance of the coating with regard to the property of the coating.

16. The method of claim 15, further comprising the steps of:

providing a coating well apparatus having at least a substrate part and a well wall part which can be separated;



separating the well wall part from the substrate part after drying, whereby the coating material array is carried by the substrate alone after separation.

17. The method of claim 15, wherein the well depth and volume is substantially  
5 greater than that of the coating volume.

18. A method of analyzing coating materials for performance of the coating with regard to a property of a coating, comprising:

10 providing an array of coating wells, each well being configured for receiving a coating material having a known parameter;

placing a coating material having the known parameter in each coating well, varying the parameter so as to provide a plurality of coating materials having different parameter values in a plurality of coating wells;

15 correlating the value of the parameter for the coatings deposited in each of the plurality of coating wells with the position of the coating well in the array, whereby a parameter value is associated with each coating well position in the array;

applying a centrifugal force to the array of coating wells to level the coating material in the coating wells;

curing said coating samples under said coating leveling force; and

20 testing the coatings in the array to analyze the relationship between the position in the array and performance with regard to the property of the coating material;

whereby the value of the parameter can be correlated to the performance of the coating with regard to the property of the coating.

25 19. The method of claim 18, further comprising the steps of:

providing a coating well apparatus having at least a substrate part and a well wall part which can be separated;

separating the well wall part from the substrate part after application of the leveling force, whereby the coating material array is carried by the substrate alone after  
30 separation.

20. The method of claim 12, further comprising the steps of:

curving the said array of coating wells to substantially match the curvature of the curvilinear path of the array during centrifuging.

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
10 May 2001 (10.05.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 01/33211 A1**

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **G01N 31/00**,  
1/28, C12M 1/34

[US/US]; 845 Pomello Dr., Claremont, CA 91711 (US).  
**SAUNDERS, Dennis, L.** [US/US]; 2059 Paseo Ambar,  
San Dimas, CA 91773 (US).

(21) International Application Number: **PCT/US00/29854**

(22) International Filing Date: 30 October 2000 (30.10.2000)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:  
60/162,349 29 October 1999 (29.10.1999) US  
*29 Mar 02/3000*

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **EVERY  
DENNISON CORPORATION** [US/US]; 150 North Or-  
ange Grove Boulevard, Pasadena, CA 91103 (US).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **AKHAVE, Jay, R.**


(74) Agents: **ROSE, Alan, C. et al.**; Oppenheimer Wolff &  
Donnelly LLP, 2029 Century Park East, Suite 3800, Los  
Angeles, CA 90067 (US).

(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU,  
AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ,  
DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR,  
HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR,  
LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ,  
NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM,  
TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM,  
KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian  
patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European  
patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE,

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: AN APPARATUS FOR HIGH-THROUGHPUT PRODUCTION OF COAT MATERIAL ARRAYS, AND ANALYTICAL METHODS USING SUCH ARRAYS



(57) Abstract: A combinatorial, high-throughput screening method is described for developing new coatings having a desired performance characteristic of a coating property which results in a substantial increase in the discovery rate of new coating materials. The method includes the steps of providing an array of wells (18) for receiving candidate coating materials having a known parameter; placing coating materials in each well (16) while varying the coating material parameter; correlating the coating material position in the array to the variation of the coating material parameter; applying a coating leveling force to and optionally drying the coating materials in the array of coating wells (19); testing the coatings with regard to the desired performance characteristic (21) and correlating the result of the test to the well position in the array that thereby coating materials having the desired performance characteristic may be discovered.

WO 01/33211 A1

WO 01/33211 A1



IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

**Published:**

— *With international search report.*





FIG. 1

2/7

FIG. 2



3/7

FIG. 3





FIG. 4



5/7

FIG. 5





FIG. 6



6/7

FIG. 9




FIG. 7





FIG. 8



7/7




FIG. 10

FIG. 11





FIG. 12

Docket No. 310048-488

**DECLARATION AND PETITION**

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled AN APPARATUS FOR HIGH THROUGHPUT PRODUCTION OF COAT MATERIAL ARRAYS, AND ANALYTICAL METHODS USING SUCH ARRAYS, the specification of which

\_\_\_ is attached hereto

X was filed on October 30, 2000 as Application Serial No. PCT/US00/29854 and was amended on \_\_\_ (if applicable).

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, § 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application of which priority is claimed.

**Prior Foreign Application(s)**

Priority Claimed

(Number)	(Country)	(Day, month, year filed)
Yes	No	

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

60/162,349	October 29, 1999	(Provisional Application)
(Application Serial No.)	Filing Date	(Status: Patented, pending, abandoned)

Docket No. 310048-488

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Please send all correspondence to:

**OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY LLP**  
2029 CENTURY PARK EAST, 38TH FLOOR  
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067  
 TELEPHONE (310) 788-5000  
 FAX (310) 738-5100  
 ATTN: ALAN C. ROSE

Wherefore I (we) pray that Letters Patent be granted to me (us) for the invention or discovery described and claimed in the foregoing specification and claims, and I (we) hereby subscribe my (our) name(s) to the foregoing specification and claims, declaration, power of attorney, and this petition.

**Full name of inventor: MARK LICON**

1-00 Inventor's signature Mark Licon Date 10-1-01

Residence: 226 North Rock River Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765 CA

Citizenship: USA

Post Office Address: Same as above

2-00 **Full name of second inventor: JAY R. AKHAVE**

Inventor's signature Jay R Akhave Date 10-9-01

Residence: 845 Pomello Drive, Claremont, CA 91711 CA

Citizenship: USA

Post Office Address: Same as above

3-00 **Full name of third inventor: DENNIS L. SAUNDERS**

Inventor's signature Dennis L Saunders Date 10/9/01

Residence: 2059 Paseo Ambar, San Dimas, CA 91773 CA

Citizenship: USA

Post Office Address: Same as above